

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Nancy Carlson <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 1:53 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please let our food be natural.

Yours sincerely, Nancy Carlson

_____ This email was sent by Nancy Carlson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Nancy provided an email address (nancycarlson2120@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Nancy Carlson at nancycarlson2120@yahoo.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Elizabeth Shaw <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 2:06 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To those considering deregulation of GM food production:

Summary: An ecosystem without diversity dies. GM food production renders even fewer food varieties available, i.e. many foods are eliminated by monoculture production. GM consequence testing requires broadening scope to include relative ecosystems and length of time to include several life cycles. To not regulate GM production is allowing Pandora's Box to overflow. It is extremely negligent!

There are a multitude of diligent studies required over sufficient life cycles to determine cause and effect of genetic modification. Only when the scope of testing includes affects to the whole can we conclude GM consequence. No ill effect may initially be evident to the consumer of GM corn. However the production of said corn requires the dousing of soil with Roundup — which kills the soil — and widely migrates negatively affecting water, foliage, habitat, humans, etc. Thus a conclusion that GM corn causes no ill effect is myopic

Science proves that without diversity an entire ecosystem eventually dies. Agribusiness mono crop production over decades has narrowed diversity. We used to have 307 varieties of corn, now 12 remain. One intent in GM is to create a stronger more vibrant entity. The focus is usually on one variety. GM salmon has been determined to be safe for consumption and approved for market in the US. If widely accepted, this then could become the main variety of choice, thus illuminating diversity.

What if there was an escape of GM salmon to the natural world. We don't know what consequence that would have. The GM fish are stronger and aggressive eaters, given they need to eat enough to maintain their altered growth hormone state, i.e. they double their size in half the time it takes the natural variety. That alone could be the demise of natural salmon. We just don't know!

There are many other concerns regarding GM to study and determine consequences. To not do so is like playing Russian roulette. Please think deeply and thoroughly about your considering deregulation of GM. The world depends on rigorous and thorough non biased determination of GM consequences.

Yours sincerely, Elizabeth Shaw

This email was sent by Elizabeth Shaw via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Elizabeth provided an email address (ehashaw@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Elizabeth Shaw at ehashaw@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Michael Antoniou <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 2:29 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

As a user of genetic engineering including genome editing techniques I'm fully aware of their technical basis, and strengths and weaknesses.

Genome editing entails (i) using laboratory-based, artificial DNA altering procedures; (ii) does not in itself involve natural cross-breeding; (iii) results in functional alterations of one or more DNA sequences; (iv) causes unintended and/or unpredictable off-target effects at DNA, RNA and protein levels. Thus it is evidently clear that these methods constitute genetic modification (GM) procedures and thus give rise to genetically modified organisms (GMOs). To be true to the science and technology at the basis of genome editing, when evaluating the products of these methods it is essential to consider the GM PROCESS by which they are produced to constructively inform their regulation. Thus the products of genome editing should be regulated as GMOs. In the medical sphere genome editing is unquestionably a GM process and strictly regulated as such for both efficacy and safety. Regulating agricultural products produced by genome editing as GMOs will simply align with how this t

echnology is accepted and regulated in a medical context. This will ensure best possible safety for consumers and the environment.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Michael Antoniou

_____ This email was sent by Michael Antoniou via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Michael provided an email address (michael.antoniou@kcl.ac.uk) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Michael Antoniou at michael.antoniou@kcl.ac.uk.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Lynn Wright <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 2:35 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please do not allow these new GM techniques in your food supply without careful safety testing, research and at the very least, clear labeling. They are sure to wind up on my table in America without me knowing that I am eating something that could harm myself and my family.

Yours sincerely, lynn wright

_____ This email was sent by Lynn Wright via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Lynn provided an email address (niravalynn@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Lynn Wright at niravalynn@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Roz Turner <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 2:42 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please. Do not allow a free- for- all for GM plants, animals and microbes to be released in Australia without rigorous testing first.

The percentages per Australian farmers are at a all time low in regards to farmers providing food and products for our national markets notwithstanding our commitments to the international markets. As Australian citizens who desperately want to support our farmers and our health, we want GM free foods for not only ourselves but for our children. At the very least we want them labled for the general supermarket consumer. I have worked as a teacher and throughout the past 25yrs have seen our young people affected by steroids by eating chicken. I cannot support any new GM introductions which could interfere with heritable genetic changes. Please remember that any modifications in GM crops can affect bees and polination. Yous sincerely, Roz Turner Cable Beach, Western Australia, 6726, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Roz Turner via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Roz provided an email address (rozaeturner@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Roz Turner at rozaeturner@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: John Menmuir <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 2:44 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Would you have ever flown on Concorde had it not been for many years of exhaustive testing? The eventual Concorde crash in Paris was not a fault of the aircraft or its many years of testing but rather the fact that it was grossly overloaded, contrary to specification and debris on the runway. The lack of long term testing for GM foods is nothing short of incredible. I am an independent scientist/engineer and actually worked on Concorde in its infancy. GM seeds etc. are all about a 'rush to market' and profits for the likes of Monsanto, who allegedly have wrought considerable damage to Indian cotton and the suicides of many Indian farmers. Also the Monsanto 'self destruct' seed policy has got to be the ultimate in greedy profiteering. The human race and our food supply Have 'evolved' together for thousands of years, can we assume that GM modification in an infinitesimally short time period create a harmonious food supply without long term testing !!??

Yours sincerely, John Menmuir

_____ This email was sent by John Menmuir via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however John provided an email address (john@menmuir.net) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to John Menmuir at john@menmuir.net.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Sheri C <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 2:54 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

There has been no human safety studies done on GMO food and the independent animal studies show many problems. Please do not deregulate gm foods and technologies.

Yours sincerely, Sheri C Orange, New South Wales, 2800, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Sheri C via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sheri provided an email address (srcarucci@aol.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sheri C at srcarucci@aol.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Patti Garrick <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 3:00 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GMOs of ALL types have been found to cause holes in intestinal lining, cause cancerous tumors, massive environmental degradation and much more. They should be OULAWED and shouldnt be produced anywhere. If they are going to be allowed, they should be HIGHLY REGULATED. GMOS are killing us and ruining DNA of living things everywhere – horizontal gene transfer??? Yours sincerely, Patti Garrick

_____ This email was sent by Patti Garrick via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Patti provided an email address (wolvenwood@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Patti Garrick at wolvenwood@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Carl Mather <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 3:18 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Carl Mather

_____ This email was sent by Carl Mather via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Carl provided an email address (carloslosgrande@fastmail.fm) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Carl Mather at carloslosgrande@fastmail.fm.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Harry Smythe <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 3:26 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

All altered genes (GMOs) products must be proven by scientific research BEFORE these products are released into our food chain! ...each of them!

Yours sincerely, Harry Smythe

_____ This email was sent by Harry Smythe via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Harry provided an email address (hsmythe@mac.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Harry Smythe at hsmythe@mac.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Claudia Frantz <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 3:43 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food.

Yours sincerely, Claudia Frantz

_____ This email was sent by Claudia Frantz via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Claudia provided an email address (caofrantz@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Claudia Frantz at caofrantz@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: T Lankes <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 3:49 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Sir or Madam,

please consider the conclusions drawn by the European Network of Scientists for Social and Environmental Responsibility's statement on new GM techniques, which concludes that they “should be strictly regulated as GMOs”: <https://ensser.org/publications/ngmt-statement/>

Yours sincerely, T Lankes

_____ This email was sent by T Lankes via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however T provided an email address (tlankes@web.de) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to T Lankes at tlankes@web.de.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Hank Keeton <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 6:15 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GMO's...no matter how they are created in the lab...are a threat to the natural processes of life.

In recent years large agrochemical companies such as Dow, Syngenta, Bayer and Monsanto and other players have been investing in a suite of risky new genetic modification (GM) techniques, which industry refers to collectively as 'New Plant Breeding Techniques'. Industry is arguing that these techniques are much more precise than older genetic engineering techniques – or even that they are not really genetic engineering at all – in order to attempt to circumvent regulation and public resistance to GMOs.

Now the GM giants are making a concerted push to have these emergent techniques escape GM laws in the United States, Europe and Australia. Industry is arguing that these techniques – which include oligo-directed mutagenesis (ODM) and site-directed nucleases (SDNs) such as zinc-finger nucleases (ZFN) and CRISPR – only result in small predictable changes to the genome and are therefore much more precise than earlier genetic engineering techniques. Interestingly, this is exactly the same argument they used when GM crops were originally introduced – and is equally untrue for these techniques.

Unfortunately, our regulators – the Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR) and Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) – seem all too ready to allow products derived from these risky new techniques to go untested and unlabelled into our food chain.

_____ This email was sent by Hank Keeton via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Hank provided an email address (hakeeton@keetoncorp.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Hank Keeton at hakeeton@keetoncorp.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Tamara Schwartzentruber <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 7:33 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern:

I am extremely concerned by the fact that Food Standards Australia New Zealand may be about to deregulate a range of new genetic modification techniques, allowing foods thus modified to be released to the global market.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks. All new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique that can result in heritable genetic changes, so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks, so thoroughly assessing the GM production process in a way that respects the precautionary principle, and recognizes the possibility of long-term deleterious effects on human and environmental health is appropriate and necessary. Running uncontrolled experiments on the population of Australia, New Zealand, or other places is not.

Yours sincerely, Tamara Schwartzentruber

_____ This email was sent by Tamara Schwartzentruber via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Tamara provided an email address (sunsonghealing@yahoo.ca) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Tamara Schwartzentruber at sunsonghealing@yahoo.ca.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Edwin Scott <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 7:36 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please read the email tips above for some points you could include in your own comments. GM organisms, in total, have Zero Honest Testing. Poison for our environment & future.

Yours sincerely, Edwin Scott.

_____ This email was sent by Edwin Scott via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Edwin provided an email address (ed.oneroa@xtra.co.nz) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Edwin Scott at ed.oneroa@xtra.co.nz.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Katherine Lawrence <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 7:39 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please, for the sake of all life, TEST all genetically modified organisms and techniques BEFORE using us as your guinea pigs.

Yours sincerely, Katherine Lawrence

_____ This email was sent by Katherine Lawrence via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Katherine provided an email address (katherine@lawrenceresources.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Katherine Lawrence at katherine@lawrenceresources.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Joe Stark <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 8:27 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

All of these GM creations ought to be banned, not just regulated, because the sole purpose is to accommodate spraying of toxic pesticides.

Yours sincerely, Joe Stark

_____ This email was sent by Joe Stark via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Joe provided an email address (hebotrout@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Joe Stark at hebotrout@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Pierre Sambastian <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 9:15 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear FSANZ Representative,

As a member of the public i am extremely concerned about the expansion of GM technology proposed in your consultation paper.

Science has not the ability to create a living organism from scratch...yet is so arrogant as to modify plants and animals developed over thousands of years of natural selection, and billions of years of evolution.

I am opposed to the GM industry, but if you have any morals, make it mandatory to label all food and animal feed as Genetically Modified if it is so. We have the ability to trace and account for where it is used; let the customers decide whether they want GM, let the creators take credit for a cheaper and 'better' product.

Yours sincerely, Pierre Sambastian

_____ This email was sent by Pierre Sambastian via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Pierre provided an email address (plsambas@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Pierre Sambastian at plsambas@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Gerd Schnepel <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 10:43 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

We are fighting still against the “original” GMOs here in Central America. Therefore of course we support your resistance and movement against these new ones.

All GMO must be kept out, and where it is still not possible, at least be labelled. In last consequence it is a thing of greed and capitalism. Why else again and again the corresponding industry invents new ways to succeed on world food control and giant income for few people? And why else do all these “scientists”, and politicians and embedded journalists sell themselves again and again, without showing any conscience, any dignity, any honest behaviour, any shame?

Suerte, we shall overcome, Sano y Salvo • Safe and Sound, Primera Asociación Campesina e Indígena de Cultura y Producción Ecológicas en la Biosfera del Sureste de Nicaragua ,

Yours sincerely, Gerd Schnepel

_____ This email was sent by Gerd Schnepel via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Gerd provided an email address (GERDSCHNEPEL2043@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Gerd Schnepel at GERDSCHNEPEL2043@yahoo.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Cheriel Jensen <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Tuesday, 27 March 2018 10:54 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Based on this policy/law I will make sure the stores I buy from here in the United States never buy from Australia.

Yours sincerely, Cheriel Jensen

_____ This email was sent by Cheriel Jensen via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Cheriel provided an email address (cherielj@earthlink.net) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Cheriel Jensen at cherielj@earthlink.net.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.